# Division of Finance Budget Process Case Study 

Wednesday, February 17, 202 I 2:00 p.m.


- The purpose of this case study is to illustrate the potential value that the Data Delivery Committee could add to existing analyses throughout Division of Finance and also create new and elevated analyses by improving delivery methods to decision makers
- Prior to the creation of Financial Planning \& Analysis ("FP\&A") department of Division of Finance, the budget process for Division of Finance did not have a method of reviewing the budgets of the 9 departments within DoF in a streamlined and consistent manner
- In 18 months, we believe much progress has been made and the refined data delivery has been well received, disseminated to other centers within Penn via recommendation and requests for consultations and have produced financial resources for Division of Finance
- But the work continues
- Below is DoF's budget summary provided to the VP of Finance in January 2019

- MaryFrances \& FP\&A needed an improved way to gather the budget data from the departments so that we could better deliver data to Craig and Trevor to ensure that DoF received sufficient financial resources to achieve its strategic goals and priorities

- Data Gathering:To insure that the department level budgets were being reviewed in detail at the department level in an efficient manner, FP\&A rolled out user friendly templates
- For both excel that was linked to smartview/Hyperion Planning (the software used by the Budget Office) and...
- PowerPoint presentation to be reviewed with MaryFrances on a quarterly basis instead of once a year

| Timeframe for Individual Meetings w/Departments | Agenda |
| :--- | :--- |
| End of September 2019- Early October 2019: | Review FY20 1st Quarter Forecasts and FY21-25 Budgets |
| End of December 2019 - Early January 2020: | Review FY20 2nd Quarter Forecasts and FY21-25 Budgets |
| End of March 2020 - Early April 2020: | Review FY20 3rd Quarter Forecasts and FY21 Budget |
| July 2020 - August 2020: | Review FY20 Actuals |

- Having the 9 departments use the same user friendly templates allowed for
- uniformity which translated to faster data gathering and aggregation and lead to more time and energy that could be dedicated to thoughtful review by MaryFrances and FP\&A ...

University of Pennsylvania

| Division of Finance: 8740-S <br> FY2020 Forecast (in 1st Qtr) and FY2021-2025 Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fund Name: 000000-GENERAL UNRESTRICTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data in Hyperion as of: |  | 9/22/2020 |  |  |  |  | Working Forecast FY20 | Working Budget FY21 | Working Budget FY22 | Working Budget FY23 | Working Budget FY24 | Working <br> Budget <br> FY25 |  |  |
| Line \#: | \$ Amounts | Final Actual FY15 | Final Actual FY16 | Final Actual FY17 | Final Actual FY18 | Final Actual FY19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average FY15-FY19 | Average FY20-FY25 |
| 1 | General Fee U/G, Grad | 2,792,930 | 2,848,789 | 2,905,765 | 2,963,880 | 3,023,157 | 3,083,621 | 3,145,293 | 3,208,199 | 3,272,363 | 3,337,810 | 3,404,567 | 2,906,904 | 3,241,976 |
| 2 | Other Fees | 2,279,953 | 2,267,030 | 2,568,173 | 2,289,286 | 2,355,387 | 2,320,000 | 2,320,000 | 2,320,000 | 2,320,000 | 2,320,000 | 2,320,000 | 2,351,966 | 2,320,000 |
| 3 | Investment Income Admin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | FFAC | 3,664,283 | 3,737,569 | 3,637,569 | 754,234 | 1,120,000 | 808,211 | 824,375 | 840,863 | 857,680 | 874,834 | 892,330 | 2,582,731 | 849,716 |
| 5 | FFAC Research | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | Resource Transfers In \& (Out) | 1,077,738 | 1,000,435 | 841,620 | 1,235,451 | 682,252 | 747,500 | 747,500 | 747,500 | 747,500 | 747,500 | 747,500 | 967,499 | 747,500 |
| 7 | All Other | 1,765,943 | 1,692,259 | 1,554,011 | 1,029,016 | 908,812 | 890,132 | 831,132 | 826,132 | 791,132 | 796,132 | 796,132 | 1,390,008 | 821,799 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Salaries | 5,715,699 | 5,929,160 | 5,760,112 | 4,916,621 | 4,796,426 | 5,609,085 | 6,171,125 | 6,655,591 | 6,855,259 | 7,060,917 | 7,272,744 | 5,423,604 | 6,604,120 |
| 11 | Employee Benefits | 1,958,979 | 2,007,832 | 1,893,331 | 1,671,635 | 1,572,810 | 1,778,080 | 1,987,102 | 2,176,378 | 2,275,946 | 2,365,407 | 2,436,369 | 1,820,918 | 2,169,880 |
| 12 | Comm. \& Computing Internal | 1,221,112 | 2,282,928 | 1,841,231 | 207,449 | 202,609 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 1,151,066 | 48,000 |
| 13 | Comm.\& Computing External | 125,395 | 112,625 | 103,599 | 97,958 | 85,891 | 88,600 | 92,600 | 87,600 | 85,600 | 85,600 | 85,600 | 105,094 | 87,600 |
| 14 | Professional Services | 1,141,492 | 1,162,190 | 1,263,826 | 1,493,586 | 1,040,201 | 689,500 | 679,500 | 679,500 | 679,500 | 679,500 | 679,500 | 1,220,259 | 681,167 |
| 15 | All Other Expenses | 335,016 | 289,663 | 221,153 | 129,060 | 103,476 | 44,400 | 186,400 | 191,400 | 143,400 | 130,400 | 130,400 | 215,673 | 137,733 |
| 16 | Allocated Cost \& Space Charges | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 171819 | Total Expenses | 10,497,693 | 11,784,398 | 11,083,251 | 8,516,308 | 7,801,413 | 8,257,665 | 9,164,727 | 9,838,469 | 10,087,705 | 10,369,824 | 10,652,613 | 9,936,613 | 9,728,501 |
|  | RCM Operating SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,083,154 | $(238,315)$ | 423,887 | $(244,442)$ | 288,193 | $(408,201)$ | $(1,296,427)$ | $(1,895,775)$ | $(2,099,030)$ | $(2,293,548)$ | $(2,492,084)$ | 262,495 | $(1,747,511)$ |
| 20 ler |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Year over Year Change\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { FY15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY16 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY17 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY18 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY19 } \end{gathered}$ | Forecast FY20 | Budget FY21 | Budget FY22 | Budget FY23 | Budget FY24 | Budget FY25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { FY15-FY19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { FY20-FY25 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 23 | General Fee U/G, Grad | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 24 | Other Fees | 4.2\% | -0.6\% | 13.3\% | -10.9\% | 2.9\% | -1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | -0.3\% |
| 25 | Investment Income Admin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | FFAC | -3.3\% | 2.0\% | -2.7\% | -79.3\% | 48.5\% | -27.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | -6.9\% | -3.0\% |
| 27 | FFAC Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Resource Transfers In \& (Out) | 42.0\% | -7.2\% | -15.9\% | 46.8\% | -44.8\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.6\% |
| 29 | All Other | 0.9\% | -4.2\% | -8.2\% | -33.8\% | -11.7\% | -2.1\% | -6.6\% | -0.6\% | -4.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | -11.4\% | -2.1\% |
| 30 | Total Revenue \% | 3.2\% | -0.3\% | -0.3\% | -28.1\% | -2.2\% | -3.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | -5.6\% | 0.2\% |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Salaries | -0.1\% | 3.7\% | -2.9\% | -14.6\% | -2.4\% | 16.9\% | 10.0\% | 7.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | -3.3\% | 7.3\% |
| 33 | Employee Benefits | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | -5.7\% | -11.7\% | -5.9\% | 13.1\% | 11.8\% | 9.5\% | 4.6\% | 3.9\% | 3.0\% | -4.0\% | 7.6\% |
| 34 | Comm. \& Computing Internal | -5.5\% | 87.0\% | -19.3\% | -88.7\% | -2.3\% | -76.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | -5.8\% | -12.7\% |
| 35 | Comm. \& Computing External | 10.2\% | -10.2\% | -8.0\% | -5.4\% | -12.3\% | 3.2\% | 4.5\% | -5.4\% | -2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | -5.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 36 | Professional Services | 17.3\% | 1.8\% | 8.7\% | 18.2\% | -30.4\% | -33.7\% | -1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | -5.9\% |
| 37 | All Other Expenses Allocated Cost \& Space Charges | -52.8\% | -13.5\% | -23.7\% | -41.6\% | -19.8\% | -57.1\% | 319.8\% | 2.7\% | -25.1\% | -9.1\% | 0.0\% | -30.3\% | 38.5\% |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Total Expenses \% | -2.4\% | 12.3\% | -5.9\% | -23.2\% | -8.4\% | 5.8\% | 11.0\% | 7.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | -5.5\% | 5.4\% |

- ...Facilitated higher level discussions with department heads, focusing on the financial needs of the department to achieve its strategic goals and not in the weeds of small dollar amounts, objects codes and/or RCM semantics



| angoing | Innovating ways for data gathering and delivery to produce <br> expense savings or revenue increases |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Improvements | aOptimizing limited financial resources to improve organization <br> structure and business processes |
|  | Data delivery must be in the context of the larger vision and <br> priorities of Penn and the changing landscape of higher education <br> and the world |

